2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION
3	STATE OF FLORIDA,
4	PLAINTIFF,
5	CASE NUMBER: 48-2008-CF-15606-O
6	DIVISION NUMBER: 16 CASEY MARIE ANTHONY,
7	
8	DEFENDANT./
. 9	JURY TRIAL EXCERPT (BENCH CONFERENCE)
1.0	BEFORE
11	THE HONORABLE BELVIN J. PERRY JR.
12	
13	In the Orange County Courthouse
14	Courtroom 23A Orlando, Florida 32801
15	June 24, 2011 ——————————————————————————————————
16	HIMI ICCOLD, KIR, CKK
17	APPEARANCES:
18	JEFFREY ASHTON, ATTORNEY LINDA DRANE BURDICK, ATTORNEY
19	FRANK GEORGE, ATTORNEY Assistant State Attorneys
20	415 North Orange Avenue
21	Orlando, Florida 32801 On behalf of the State
22	
23	JOSE BAEZ, ATTORNEY
24	522 Simpson Road Kissimmee, Florida 34744
25	On behalf of the Defendant

1	J. CHENEY MASON, ATTORNEY
2	390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2100 Orlando, Florida 32801
	On behalf of the Defendant
3	DOROTHY CLAY SIMS, ATTORNEY
4	118 SW Fort King Street
E	Ocala, Florida 34471 On behalf of the Defendant
5	On behalf of the berendant
6	WILLIAM SLABAUGH, ATTORNEY
7	522 Simpson Road Kissimmee, Florida 34744
	On behalf of the Defendant
8	
9	LISABETH FRYER, ATTORNEY
10	390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2100
Τ.Ο	Orlando, Florida 32801
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(The following conference was held at the bench.)
4	MR. MASON: Your Honor, there's several things I'd
5	like to point out. First, which, of course, this is
6	for the record.
7	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
8	MR. MASON: Statements by counsel in opening or
9	closing are not evidence.
10	THE COURT: I know that.
11	MR. MASON: That's why I said I'm just putting it
12	on the record.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. MASON: The fact of the matter is, the theory
15	has consistently been, however, that this child drowned
16	in the pool as an accident. The issue is the coverup
17	of that and why the defendant and/or the other members
18	of the family involved, if so, failed to report this
19	for the infamous 31 days.
20	The issue of the failure to report for 31 days was
21	and still is, depending on what comes up, we claim, in
22	part, the product of a totally dysfunctional family
23	that included, as Mr. Baez said, allegations of sexual
24	abuse in the family. There's more dysfunction than
25	just that. And the reason I wanted to approach the

sidebar, this sort of stuff doesn't need to be

2 unnecessarily publicized until there's some rulings on

it, but, as we have a side issue, we have an expert --

**THE COURT:** Just a second. Oh. Just a second.

(Brief pause.)

6 Okay. Go ahead.

MR. MASON: We have a grief expert whose name I can't pronounce.

MR. BAEZ: Karioth. Karioth.

MR. MASON: And it is my understanding that a requirement for such a witness to testify, is that they have a factual basis predicated of certain dysfunctions in the family, so forth. So one of the things that we're wanting to talk about, more with this witness asking questions, and conceivably with others, is the dysfunction in the family, such as George and Casey fighting, and fighting over money, George and Cindy fighting over his gambling, not known to her, resulting in her paycheck being garnished, those types of things that we would ask in questions of this witness and/or other witnesses we have, to wit: The coworkers.

If the Court is of the opinion that the relevancy of those issues going to the theory of defense is not sufficient and will bar it all, then substantially you're barring the presentation of a theory of defense.

1.	And I believe that Mateo, regardless of the specific
2	facts of the Court, it talked about the is it the
3	Vannier case that's in there?
4	THE COURT: Yeah, the Vannier case is in there.
5	MR. MASON: And they of course, the theory of
6	defense cases are a legion. I found quite they're
7	not with me today but the theory of defense can be
8	established by cross-examination and established by
9	inferences. There has to be something somewhere.
10	We're saying, this one, there's a reasonable inference
11	that this child did, indeed could have drowned in
12	the pool. We don't have to have a a witness to say
13	they saw her drown. How would you ever do that on an
14	unattended death of a child? You couldn't.
15	THE COURT: Well, in Vannier was a murder case.
16	MR. MASON: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: The issue in Vannier, or the theory of
18	defense in Vannier, was suicide. There was a letter
19	that the Court would not let in
20	MR. MASON: Depression.
21	THE COURT: of depression, which directly
22	relates to what? Suicide. So
23	MR. MASON: It could.
24	THE COURT: Or it could. But it was a letter of

depression. And as we all know, depression leads to

1	suicide. But how do you make the connection to
2	Ms. Anthony
3	MR. MASON: Let me suggest an answer to that.
4	THE COURT: Does does Ms. Anthony know about
5	all these things and all these things had some effect
6	on her? Because if parents can be having all the
7	problems in the world, but if the kids don't know about
8	it, then how does that affect them and
9	MR. MASON: Well, let me answer the best I can.
10	Just one of the issues I just told you about, the
11	showing of the dysfunction, is the fighting between
12	George and Casey. And the activities of the family
13	were will establish all of the which she can
14	predicate, of course, arguments in front of everybody.
15	Just as depression leads to suicide, dysfunction leads
16	to lying and covering up. And so we're into the whole
17	ball of wax here.
18	We offered and wanted to have admitted the
19	photograph that had been previously talked about of the
20	efforts to conceal the pregnancy. We have witnesses
21	that if depending on your ruling could say that,
22	yes
23	THE COURT: But
24	MR. MASON: they didn't nobody knew of

this --

1	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
2	MR. MASON: I was going to say this child but I
3	need to make the record clear, the defendant concealed
4	her pregnancy.
5	THE COURT: Okay. But you know why that
6	photograph wasn't admitted at the time?
7	MR. MASON: I don't remember.
8	THE COURT: Okay. If you fast-forward back to the
9	beginning of this testimony, there was testimony by
10	Mrs. Anthony about when she testified the first time
11	around, and was shown the photograph, they talked about
12	efforts to conceal her pregnancy. Nobody offered that
13	photograph at that particular time. When she got back
14	up here this time, not one question was asked of her
15	about concealing pregnancy. Thus, at the time of
16	admission of the photograph, it was not relevant 'cause
17	it was not relevant to any issue that was articulated
18	on direct examination.
19	MR. MASON: Okay. The State didn't ask her about
20	that in their case. Mr. Baez had marked and offered
21	the photograph
22	THE COURT: He didn't ask about
23	MR. MASON: do it in their case.
24	THE COURT: He did not ask not one question about
25	it when she came up here today.

1 MR. MASON: Okay. 2 Okay. So it's a predicate issue. MR. BAEZ: 3 THE COURT: So it wasn't relevant. And it's not 4 my job --5 MR. MASON: I understand. 6 -- to tell folks how to get things THE COURT: 7 into evidence. 8 MR. MASON: I agree. And that's a different 9 position than we were in. 10 So, indeed, with a sufficient predicate, then that photograph should be admissible to go to this whole 11 12 concept, and so will the testimony of the coworkers, 13 that this pregnancy was concealed until she's seven 14 months prequant. 15 MS. DRANE BURDICK: That's all hearsay. 16 MR. ASHTON: You don't have a witness who's going 17 to say, we concealed the pregnancy. In fact, Ms. Anthony has already said, we didn't. So what 18 19 you're basically doing is you're putting a witness on 20 the stand and you're saying, don't believe what the 21 witness says, believe me. You can't be a witness in 22 this case. You have to rely on what the witnesses say 23 and reasonable inferences from what the witnesses say. You can't take a witness that says, no, A didn't 24 25 happen, impeach them and say, ladies and gentlemen of

1	the	jury,	we've	just	proven	that	В	happened.	That's
2	not	how i	t works	∃.					

MR. MASON: You're missing it entirely. Let me suggest to you that Mr. Crittendon, if called, will testify that he was a daily worker, coworker, with Cindy, and never knew that Casey was pregnant. She would come by the office periodically and finally, in June, she shows up and she's wearing a corduroy overcoat over, concealing her --

THE COURT: But that's why I asked the question.

What is the theory of the defense? It has to be related to the theory of defense. If the theory of the defense is that she -- it was an accidental drowning -- MR. MASON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- and -- and the only thing I have any foggy idea about what it is -- because at least the person who has to rule has to know what the theory of defense is. I just -- I can't use my own imagination. So the only thing I have to do is depend on what you -- you --

MR. MASON: Sure.

THE COURT: -- the only thing that you've laid out so far, that I can see, is that it's an accidental drowning -- and it's based upon Mr. Baez's opening statement -- and that the reason she didn't -- didn't

1.	report it, and and the reason that she has lied so
2	much is because she was forced to lie because of the
3	dastardly deeds done to her by her father and her
4	brother.
5	MR. MASON: I don't know that there's a claim th

MR. MASON: I don't know that there's a claim that she was forced to lie. I'm telling you that I believe that the evidence has established, and will continue to, if allowed, will tell the dysfunction of this family. And that's why they have all covered up and have lied about everything.

MS. DRANE BURDICK: But that --

MR. ASHTON: Here's the problem, is you're dealing with collateral impeachment. You're wanting to impeach Cindy Anthony on whether she knew her daughter was pregnant at a particular point and hid it. That's a collateral matter.

THE COURT: But what I'm saying is I don't know how you get the fact -- you haven't tied into your theory of defense, unless it's another theory, of the pregnancy. I mean . . .

MR. BAEZ: The theory --

THE COURT: Well, let me say this.

MR. BAEZ: Okay.

1.1

THE COURT: It -- it makes it difficult, and then

I guess this is what I'll spend the night doing, and

the rest of the weekend, I will read every theory of defense case that's known to man and see. But from the cases that I remember, is you gotta have a theory and it has to be related to the theory and the evidence still has to be admissible. What theory of defense basically does, it takes evidence that may not generally be relevant to proving the named elements of a crime, to extend relevancy to prove the theory. And that's what -- that's what theory of defense is about. Because the defense sometimes has no relevancy and that's what that is all about.

MR. MASON: Let me make a suggestion that we -- and then I'll send over what you want. Don't get excited. I've given seminars on theory of defense all over the United States and I have some cases to tell you about that are of interest. One of the earliest is the case of United States versus Alfonso-Perez. It's a 1972 [sic] federal appeals court case --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MASON: -- that says it was error for the court not to grant a theory of defense instruction to the jury which was -- is the theory of the defense -- of the defendant, Mr. Perez, that the government agent witness is a liar. And that was approved by the federal courts and that started a whole -- opening

1	things for years and years and years.
2	THE COURT: There are cases in Florida that says
3	that the standard jury instructions are not adequate to
4	advise the jury of the theory of defense.
5	MR. MASON: Sure, Palm versus State.
6	THE COURT: So your entire theory of defense
7	instructions
8	MR. BAEZ: Can I give you an additional aspect of
9	the pregnancy?
10	MR. ASHTON: Judge, may I suggest that we have a
11	proffer so that we know what the witnesses are actually
12	going to say? Because all of these discussions are in
13	hypothetical and the record is not going to be pristine
14	for appellate purposes.
15	THE COURT: I've listened and at this point you
16	have not shown me how, at this point, there's a
17	relationship to your theory of defense, unless you've
18	changed it
19	MR. BAEZ: No, Judge.
20	THE COURT: that that that they've had
21	the marital problems between George and Cindy the
22	theory of defense that let me back up. What is the
23	theory of defense?
24	MR. BAEZ: The theory of defense is that this was
25	an accident that was covered up and that the behavior

1	of the defendant is consistent with, of course, she
2	reacted that way, acted that way, for various reasons,
3	family dysfunction, her abuse, and she this is a
4	family that's used to covering things up, like the
5	pregnancy. And, in addition to that, the defendant
6	did it was an issue where, um, you have
<b>→</b>	1/0 DD 110 DVD 1/0 1/1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

MS. DRANE BURDICK: We can't allow the wholesale admission of bad character evidence on --

THE COURT: Just a second. He hadn't finished.
You'll get an opportunity.

MR. BAEZ: All that was done during the defense's -- I mean, the State's first two weeks we're talking about her behavior, and why would a guilt -- you know, why would an innocent person act in that manner, this is inconsistent with innocence and only consistent with guilt. That's allegedly what their -- what their consciousness of guilt argument has been.

THE COURT: Well, on your argument about covering up her pregnancy, there's been absolutely no testimony that they covered anything up. What they said was they thought she was just puffy because her mom suffered from the same malady of having an extended abdomen during the time of her period and that -- I will -- I will go back and -- and read the testimony, but there has absolutely been -- been no evidence that anybody

- was trying to cover up anything. If the 1 coverup, I don't know when the defendant kne. 2 pregnant. But momma certainly didn't say they trie 3 cover it up. 4 And the -- I -- I can't recall, but I don't even 5 б think the daddy knew. MR. ASHTON: He testified that he was informed, I 7 think, in June, that mom and Casey sat him down and 8 told him about the pregnancy. 9 10 THE COURT: But there was no record evidence --11 Ms. Anthony didn't say that they were trying to hide it 12 from everybody that she was pregnant. That wasn't what she testified to. 13 14 But, you know, it was put on. And . . . but . . . 15 You still gotta make the leap and show me how does 16 marital problems between George and Cindy Anthony 17 figure into, quote, your theory of defense, that this 18 was an accidental drowning and that because of . . . 1.9 MR. BAEZ: It goes to his motive for wanting --20 not wanting it to get out that she died on his watch, 21 that he was home. THE COURT: His motive?
- 22
- 23 MR. BAEZ: His motive to lie.
- 24 THE COURT: His motive to lie.
- 25 MR. BAEZ: Uh-huh.

1	MR. ASHTON: But you haven't there's no
2	evidence that
3	MR. BAEZ: To cover it up.
4	MR. ASHTON: There's no evidence that he lied.
5	First you got to show he lied before you do a motive.
6	MR. BAEZ: No, you don't.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
8	MR. ASHTON: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: At this point the objection will be
10	sustained. But if you can connect it up outside of the
11	presence of the jury, I will permit you to do it. If
12	you want to proffer the testimony for the record,
13	because I think we'll be here next week on this.
14	MS. DRANE BURDICK: Uh-huh.
15	THE COURT: So if I if you want to proffer it,
16	I'll let you proffer the testimony, but at for
17	purposes of the record. But at this time, this
18	evidence doesn't even remotely deal with your theory of
19	defense.
20	MR. MASON: May I suggest if you're going to do a
21	proffer, we might as well do it at one time with these
22	other witnesses that are here that's going to be
23	THE COURT: I don't know what they're going to
24	say. I'm talking about Ms. Anthony.

MS. DRANE BURDICK: And you're going to open the

Т	door up to her criminal activity.
2	MR. MASON: I'm sorry?
3	MS. DRANE BURDICK: You're going to open the door
4	up to her criminal activity, to Ms. Anthony's criminal
5	activity.
6	MR. MASON: What, by talking about George?
7	MS. DRANE BURDICK: Talking about family
8	dysfunction.
9	MR. MASON: Uh-huh.
10	MR. ASHTON: You think you're going to be able to
11.	keep out all the stealing if you start that? That's
12	what she's saying.
13	MS. DRANE BURDICK: There's hundreds of checks
14	that she wrote on her mother's account. Hundreds.
15	MR. BAEZ: It's her mother.
16	MS. DRANE BURDICK: All right.
17	MR. ASHTON: The Court has ruled, so
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	(This concludes the requested excerpt.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2 .	
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA:
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE:
6	I, Nikki Peters, RPR, CRR, Official Court
7	Reporter of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Florida,
8	do hereby certify, pursuant to Florida Rules of Judicial
9	Administration 2.535(h)(3), that I was authorized to and did
10	report in stenographic shorthand the foregoing proceedings,
11	and that thereafter my stenographic shorthand notes
12	were transcribed to typewritten form by the process
13	of computer-aided transcription, and that the
14	foregoing pages contain a true and correct
15	transcription of my shorthand notes taken therein.
16	
17	WITNESS my hand this day of
18	2011, in the City of Orlando, County of Orange,
19	State of Florida.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Nikki Peters, RPR, CRR